

MATHEMATICS

ON SYMPLECTIC PRIMITIVE MODULES AND
MONOMIAL GROUPS

BY

ROBERT W. VAN DER WAALL

(Communicated by Prof. J. P. MURRE at the meeting of June 17, 1976)

INTRODUCTION

In [7], D. T. Price determines most of the structure of the so-called minimal non- M -groups. By definition a minimal non- M -group is a finite non-monomial group all of whose proper sections are monomial. A finite group T is said to be monomial, when all irreducible representations of T over the complex field are induced by linear representations of subgroups of T .

The theorem proved by Price is the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a finite solvable minimal non- M -group. Then the following properties hold:*

1. $G = FA$, where F is an extra-special normal p -subgroup of G of exponent p , p prime (when $p=2$, then F is not dihedral) and the subgroup A of G acts irreducibly on $F/Z(F)$ and trivially on $Z(F)$.
2. $O_p(G) = \{1\}$.
3. Either A is some p' -group or $p=2$ and $A/O_{2'}(A)$ is a cyclic 2-group.
4. If A is of odd order then A has prime order.

As done in [8], it can easily be shown that the Fitting subgroup $F(G)$ of G is equal to the group $Z(G)F$ and $Z(G)$ is a cyclic p -group. {Indeed, if $p \neq 2$, or if $p=2$ and A is a $2'$ -group, then $F(G) = F = FZ(G)$ by properties 2) and 3) of the just mentioned theorem, and when $p=2$ and A is not a $2'$ -group, then by property 3) of the theorem it follows that $F(G)/F$ is a cyclic 2-group. Use in the latter case the construction of [8], page 161, and the result follows}.

It is the purpose of this paper to clarify the structure of the group A . More precisely, since it can easily be seen that $F(G) = C_G(F/Z(F))$, we study the structure of $G/F(G)$, with $G/F(G)$ considered as subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F/Z(F))$.

We prove the following

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a finite solvable minimal non- M -group. Assume that $G/F(G)$ does not contain a normal extra-special r -subgroup of exponent r for any odd prime r . Then $G/F(G)$ is one of the following groups:*

1. $G/F(G)$ is cyclic of odd prime order q ,
2. $G/F(G)$ is quaternion of order 8,
3. $G/F(G)$ is dihedral of order $2q$, q odd prime,
4. $G/F(G) = \langle x, u | u^4 = 1 = x^q, u^{-1}xu = x^{-1} \rangle$, q odd prime,
5. $G/F(G)$ is a cyclic group of order 4.

In the course of the proof of theorem 2 we make the action of $G/F(G)$ on $F/Z(F)$ precise, in particular the prime p dividing $|F|$ plays an important role just as the prime q does, which occurs in this theorem 2. The minimal non- M -groups corresponding to theorem 2 are described in § 3.

Notations and conventions are standard and can be found in [4], [6] and [8]. All groups in this paper are finite; the word "finite" will be omitted from now on.

§ 1. SYMPLECTIC PRIMITIVE MODULES

Let G be a minimal non- M -group which is solvable. As we have seen, there exists a normal extra-special p -subgroup F of G . For any two elements $x, y \in F$ we have $[x, y] = z^t$ with a fixed z such that $\langle z \rangle = Z(F)$. Now $Z(F) \subseteq Z(G)$, whence $i \in \mathbf{F}_p$ depends only on the class \bar{x} of x mod $Z(F)$ and on the class \bar{y} of y mod $Z(F)$. $F/Z(F)$ is elementary abelian, and so $F/Z(F)$ can be viewed as an irreducible $\mathbf{F}_p(G/F(G))$ -vector space V . The map $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p^+$, given by $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \mapsto i$, with \bar{x}, \bar{y} considered as elements of the vector space V by abuse of language, i is defined above, induces a non-singular symplectic form on V , denoted again by $(,)$. The group $G/F(G)$ acts on the vector space V leaving the symplectic form $(,)$ invariant, i.e. when $t \in G/F(G)$ then $(\bar{x}^t, \bar{y}^t) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in V$. This follows from the group action

$$\begin{aligned} [x^t, y^t] &= [t^{-1}xt, t^{-1}yt] = (t^{-1}xt)^{-1}(t^{-1}yt)^{-1}(t^{-1}xt)(t^{-1}yt) = \\ &= t^{-1}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)t = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = [x, y] \text{ for any } t \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can view $G/F(G)$ as a subgroup of $Sp(2m, p)$ with $|F| = p^{2m+1}$. $\{p$ divides $|F|$ with an odd power; see [6], Th. III. 13.7}.

Define $N = G/F(G)$, $H_1 = HF(G)/F(G)$ with H a proper subgroup of G . Let V_1 be an irreducible $\mathbf{F}_p H_1$ -submodule of V . If $H_1 = N$ then $V = V_1$; in that case HF acts irreducibly on $F/Z(F)$, and as any proper subgroup of G is monomial, it follows by arguments of [7] and [8], that $HF = G$. If H_1 is a proper subgroup of N , then $HF(G)$ is monomial and putting $F_1/Z(F)$ the subgroup of $F/Z(F)$ corresponding to V_1 , then $F_1 \neq F$ by the same argument as in the last sentence following [7] and [8]. Now HF/F acts irreducibly on $F_1/Z(F)$ and trivially on $Z(F)$. By the structure of G/F , and by the fact that HF is monomial it follows that F_1 cannot be non-abelian. {Indeed, otherwise F_1 would be extra-special and by [7] it would follow that HF is not monomial via the theory of extension of

characters}. So F_1 is abelian, that is, the symplectic form $(,)$ on V is trivial on V_1 .

Therefore we have the following general situation in which we use the same symbols as above to stress the analogy. We are given the group N ; \mathbf{F}_p is the field of p elements, p prime; V is an irreducible $\mathbf{F}_p N$ -module, g is a non-singular symplectic form on V fixed by N . We say that W symplectically induces V if

1. W is a $\mathbf{F}_p J$ -submodule of V for a subgroup J of N ,
2. g is non-singular on W ,
3. $V \cong W^N$, the induced module,
4. if $x, y \in N$ where $xJ \neq yJ$, then xW and yW are orthogonal.

We say that V is symplectic primitive if V is not symplectically induced by any proper (irreducible) subspace W of V . In our special situation this is indeed the case, but notice that "our" V is just an *example* of a symplectic primitive module.

From Berger's papers [1] and [2], it follows immediately that the next proposition holds:

PROPOSITION. *An irreducible symplectic primitive $\mathbf{F}_p N$ -module V is also a minimal $\mathbf{F}_p N$ -module.*

We recall the definition of "minimal KR -module". Suppose R is a group, K is a field, X is an irreducible KR -module. Let g be a non-singular symplectic form on X fixed by R . Then X is called a minimal KR -module if one of the following properties holds:

1. $X|_S$ is homogeneous for all normal subgroups S of R , or
2. for any normal subgroup S of R such that $X|_S \cong X_1 + \dots + X_t$, where $t > 1$ and the X_i are distinct homogeneous components then
 - (i) $t = 2$;
 - (ii) X_i is totally isotropic for $i = 1, 2$; and
 - (iii) $X_1|_L$ is homogeneous for all normal subgroups L of R contained in the stabilizer of X_1 in R .

Putting $X = V$, $K = \mathbf{F}_p$, $R = N = G/F(G)$, we are back in our special situation.

§ 2. SYMPLECTIC PRIMITIVE MODULES IN CONNECTION WITH THEOREM 2

According to what we have to prove in theorem 2, let $N = G/F(G)$ have no normal extra-special r -subgroup of exponent r for any odd prime r dividing $|N|$. Theorem 2.9 of [7] states that any normal abelian subgroup of N is cyclic. Therefore any characteristic abelian subgroup of $F(N)$ is cyclic. In particular, it follows from a theorem of P. Hall ([6], Th. III. 13.10) that, if s is an odd prime dividing $|F(N)|$, the Sylow s -subgroup P of $F(N)$ equals $C_{s^a} \wr M$ with M an extra-special s -group of exponent s if P is not abelian, otherwise P itself is cyclic.

Assume $P = C_{s^a} \wr M$. Then notice that $C_{s^a} = Z(P)$ is of order s^a and

that P is characteristic in $F(N)$ whence normal in N . Let $m \in M$ and let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(P)$. Then $m^\alpha = nc$ for some $n \in M$ and $c \in C_s^a$. Hence $(m^s)^\alpha = (nc)^s = n^s c^s$. As $m \in M$, $n \in M$, it follows that $m^s = n^s = 1$. Thus $c^s = 1$. Then, however, by the amalgamation, $c \in M$. Hence M is characteristic in P , thus normal in N . By assumption such a group M does not fit as normal subgroup of N . It follows that P must be cyclic.

Next, let $2 \mid |F(N)|$. Any characteristic abelian subgroup of the Sylow 2-subgroup P_2 of $F(N)$ is cyclic, as it is also a normal abelian subgroup of N . We can apply P. Hall's theorem again and we obtain: P_2 is cyclic, generalized quaternion, semi-dihedral, dihedral or extra-special, or P_2 equals $U \wr R$, with U extra-special, R equal to a cyclic, or generalized quaternion, or semi-dihedral, or dihedral 2-group. If such a non-abelian subgroup P_2 occurs then by lemma 1.7 of [7], $F(G)$ has odd prime power order p^u . Assume P_2 contains a quaternion subgroup of order 8. Then, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we can apply lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 of [7], from which it follows that immediately $N=Q$, the quaternion group of order 8, thereby settling the case 2) of theorem 2. For the action of Q on $F(G)$, see § 3. If $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then we can apply lemma 2.3 and lemma 2.2.b of [7], so $N=C_4$ as Q contains a cyclic subgroup C_4 . This is, however, a contradiction, and this case does not occur *here*! By Hall's theorem, there remains P_2 dihedral or cyclic. We split up:

α) Let P_2 be a dihedral 2-group. Then $\text{Aut}(P_2)$ is a 2-group. Above we have found that $F(N)$ is a direct product of P_2 with the cyclic Sylow subgroups for the odd primes dividing $|F(N)|$. Now $N/C_N(F(N))$ can be viewed as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F(N))$ and $\text{Aut}(F(N))$ is a direct product of the automorphism groups of the Sylow subgroups of $F(N)$. By the structure of the Sylow subgroups of $F(N)$, it follows that $\text{Aut}(F(N))$ is nilpotent. Since $F(N) \supseteq C_N(F(N))$, $N/F(N)$ is nilpotent. Consider $F(N)/O_2(F(N))$ and let $X/O_2(F(N))$ be the unique maximal cyclic subgroup of the dihedral 2-group $F(N)/O_2(F(N))$. Then it follows easily that $X \triangleleft N$. Further $F(N)/X$ is a normal subgroup of N/X of order 2. $N/F(N)$ is nilpotent. Assume that N/X is not nilpotent. Then by Th. VI. 7.15 of [6], N/X splits over $F(N)/X$ and $F(N)/X$ is a direct factor of N/X . So N/X would be nilpotent, and this produces a contradiction. Hence N/X is always nilpotent! Since X is the direct product of its (cyclic) Sylow subgroups, X is cyclic. We keep this in mind and we proceed with the second case.

β) Let P_2 be cyclic. By what we have seen before, the Sylow t -subgroups of $F(N)$ are here cyclic for all primes t dividing $|F(N)|$. Therefore $F(N)$ itself is cyclic, and $N/F(N) = N/C_N(F(N))$ is a subgroup of the group $\text{Aut}(F(N))$ which is abelian in this case.

In either case α), β) N contains a non-trivial cyclic self-centralizing normal subgroup T such that N/T is nilpotent. In case α) $T=X$, in case β) $T=F(N)$. For the sake of completeness we mention that this statement is also true, as we have seen, if $2 \nmid |F(N)|$.

Now we have arrived precisely at Hypothesis (3.1) of Berger's paper [1] on minimal modules. Namely, Berger's hypothesis (3.1) is:

HYPOTHESIS. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ for a prime p , and assume the following four facts are given:

- (1) V is an irreducible $2m$ -dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space.
- (2) $g: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is a non-singular symplectic form upon V .
- (3) $N = BL$ is a group with normal cyclic subgroup B and nilpotent complement L , where $B \cap L = \{1\}$.
- (4) V is a faithful minimal $\mathbb{F}_p N$ -module where N fixes the form g , i.e. $g(xu, v) = g(u, x^{-1}v)$ for all $x \in N$, $u, v \in V$.

Notice that by our results obtained above, it is indeed true that $N^{\mathfrak{N}}$, the nilpotent residual of N , is cyclic and so N is a semi-direct product of $N^{\mathfrak{N}}$ with the nilpotent group M with $M \subseteq N$ and $M \cong N/N^{\mathfrak{N}}$; see Th. VI. 7.15 of [6]. So (3) of the hypothesis is fulfilled.

We split up again: $\gamma) N^{\mathfrak{N}} = \{1\}$; $\delta) N^{\mathfrak{N}} \neq \{1\}$.

$\gamma)$ Let $N^{\mathfrak{N}} = \{1\}$, i.e. N is nilpotent. We use the subgroup T defined above. "Our" V is a symplectic primitive $\mathbb{F}_p N$ -module whence also a minimal $\mathbb{F}_p N$ -module. Following Berger's paper [1] we see that the following two cases can arise: $\gamma, 1) V|_T$ is homogeneous; $\gamma, 2) V|_T = W_1 \dot{+} W_2$ where W_i is a homogeneous totally isotropic $\mathbb{F}_p T$ -submodule of V and where W_2 is the dual of W_1 . We treat these cases separately:

Re $\gamma, 1)$ Let $V|_T$ be homogeneous. Then N can be cyclic or N is a generalized quaternion 2-group. Assume N is cyclic, and let $|N|$ be divisible by an odd prime q . By [1], N is a subgroup of the cyclic group C of order $p^m + 1$ with p and m as in the Hypothesis. (So $|F| = p^{2m+1}$). Let D be a subgroup of N of prime order q . V is a completely reducible $\mathbb{F}_p D$ -module. Let W be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p D$ -submodule of V . If $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W) = \alpha$, then α is the smallest natural number such that $p^\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Hence q divides $(p^m + 1, p^\alpha - 1)$. If α is even, then by Price's theorem 2.6 of [7], it follows that $N = D$, and this settles the case 1) of theorem 2. Hence assume α is odd. Now $N = T$ and $V|_L$ is homogeneous for any (normal) subgroup L of N , in particular for D . Hence $V|_D$ is the direct sum of isomorphic copies of W , so we have $\alpha | 2m$. Since α is odd by assumption, $\alpha | m$. Hence q divides $p^m + 1$ and $p^m - 1$, so we find the contradiction $q = 2$. Next, let N be cyclic and assume $|N| = 2^e$. We have $2^e | p^m + 1$. Here p is odd. Is $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $e = 1$. However, $G/F(G)$ being then of order 2, cannot act irreducibly on the vector space $F/Z(F)$. So we must have $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By the lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 of [7], $N = C_4$ as soon as N contains a cyclic subgroup of order 4. Again $N \neq C_2$, so we have settled the case 5) of the theorem 2.

Next, let N be generalized quaternion. Then $p^m \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, according to point (3.11) of [1]. But then $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and N contains a cyclic subgroup of order 4. Again it would follow that $N = C_4$, but now it yields a contradiction.

Re $\gamma, 2$) Let $V|_T = W_1 + W_2$. By point (3.19) of [1] there are in principle two possibilities for N , namely

$\gamma, 2, 1$) N is generalized quaternion with $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Since V is symplectic primitive, $m=1$ by point (4.5) of [1]. By lemma 2.2 of [7], N is quaternion of order 8 since N contains such a subgroup. This settles again the case 2) of theorem 2. Note that $|F|=p^3$. See further § 3.

$\gamma, 2, 2$) N is a subgroup of $A \times B$ where A is some generalized quaternion, dihedral or semi-dihedral 2-group, B is cyclic of odd order and N is not a 2-group. See (4.6) of [1]. N contains a non-trivial normal 2'-subgroup M , which is cyclic. Let ω be some primitive $|M|^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity modulo p . By [1], point (2.4), $|M|$ divides $(p^n + 1)/2$, where $m=2n$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V)=2m$. As the stabilizer $T(W_1)$ of W_1 in N is of index 2 in N , we see that M stabilizes W_1 . Let U_1 be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodule of W_1 . As $W_1|_M$ is homogeneous, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(U_1)|2n$. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(U_1)=\alpha$, then $[\mathbb{F}_p(\omega):\mathbb{F}_p]=\alpha$. If α would be even, then by lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.6 of [7], N itself would be cyclic of odd prime order, what contradicts $|N/T(W_1)|=2$. So α must be odd, whence $\alpha|n$. Then, however, $|M|$ divides $(p^n + 1)/2$, and $|M|$ divides $p^n - 1$, whence also $p^n - 1$. Therefore $|M| \nmid 2$, and this is a contradiction. So this case $\gamma, 2, 2$) does not occur at all.

δ) Let $N^{\mathfrak{N}}$ be a non-trivial cyclic group. Then there is a cyclic self-centralizing subgroup X of N which is normal in N , containing $N^{\mathfrak{N}}$. We split up: $\delta, 1$) $V|_X$ is homogeneous; $\delta, 2$) $V|_X = W_1 + W_2$, the sum of two totally isotropic dual spaces.

Re $\delta, 1$) Let $V|_X$ be homogeneous. By point (1.2) of [1], V is \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphic to the additive group of the field K , where $K=\mathbb{F}_p(\omega)$, ω some primitive $|X|^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity modulo p . By Th. II. 3.10 of [6] V is now an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p X$ -module. Then, however, the inverse image Y of X in $G/F(G)$ would act irreducibly on $F/Z(F)$, contrary to the monomiality of $YF(G)$, as proper subgroup of G . This case does not occur.

Re $\delta, 2$) By point (3.12) of [1], W_1 and W_2 are both irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p X$ -modules, by and argument as done above. We have the following series:

$$N \supseteq T(W_1) \supseteq X \supseteq \{1\},$$

where $T(W_1)$ is the stabilizer of W_1 in N . Hence there exists a 2-element α such that V is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p(X\langle\alpha\rangle)$ -module. Therefore the inverse image Z of $X\langle\alpha\rangle$ in $G/F(G)$ is equal to $G/F(G)$ (otherwise $F(G)Z$ is monomial and since $F/Z(F)$ is irreducible under the action of $X\langle\alpha\rangle$, this produces a contradiction).

Hence N/X is a cyclic 2-group. As N is not nilpotent, X contains a non-trivial cyclic Hall 2'-subgroup N_1 such that $N_1 \triangleleft N$. By point (1.1) of [1], we have $X=C_N(N_1)$ or $|C_N(N_1)/X|=2$. On the other hand, consider $\Omega_1(S_t)$, where S_t is the Sylow t -subgroup of N_1 , t odd prime. Call $S=\Omega_1(S_t)$. Since $S \triangleleft N$, there are at most two classes of isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_p S$ -modules, that is, there are at most two homogeneous constituents of S on V . Now any element of N fixes or interchanges these constituents and so $N=C_N(S)$

or $|N/C_N(S)|=2$. Hence $C_N(S) \supseteq C_N(N_1)$. Since $N/C_N(N_1)$ is a cyclic 2-group, it follows that $T(W_1)$ centralizes any such group S for any p dividing $|N_1|$. By Th. 5.3.10 of [4], $T(W_1)$ centralizes S_t , for any t dividing $|N_1|$, whence $T(W_1)$ is contained in $C_N(N_1)$. Therefore $T(W_1)=X$ or $|T(W_1)/X|=2$, whence respectively $T(W_1)=C_N(N_1)=X$ or $T(W_1)/X=C_N(N_1)/X$ has order 2. Next we split up according to the prime p which divides $|F|$.

Re $\delta, 2, 1$) Let $|F|=p^{2m+1}$ with p prime and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $(|N|, |F|)=1$, following 1.7 (a) of [7]. Hence by lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.3 of [7], N does not contain a cyclic subgroup of order 4. Therefore any 2-element of N has order 2, whence N/X is cyclic of order 2, and N/N_1 is elementary abelian of order 4 or $X=N_1$. Let T_1 be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p N_1$ -submodule of W_1 . Since $W_1|_{N_1}$ is homogeneous, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(T_1)$ divides $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W_1)=m$. Let $\alpha=\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(T_1)$. Then α is the smallest natural number such that $p^\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{|N_1|}$. See Th. II. 3.10 of [6]. As N_1 is of odd order, we see that $p^\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{2|N_1|}$. Since $\alpha|m$, we must have in the case $|X/N_1|=2$ that $\alpha=m$ (indeed, W_1 is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p X$ -module and m is the smallest positive integer such that $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{|X|}$; see Th. 3.17 of [1]). Therefore there is an element $\beta \in N$ with $\beta^2=1$ such that V is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p(N_1\langle\beta\rangle)$ -module. G is a minimal non- M -group, and so $N=N_1\langle\beta\rangle$ and $N_1=X$. According to [1], point (3.16), we can identify β with a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma\nu^{-1} \\ \sigma\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\sigma\nu \in DK^*$, where DK^* is the semi-direct product of the group $D=\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_p)$ with the multiplicative group K^* of K as normal subgroup. The action of D on K^* is the natural one. Further K^+ is \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphic to W_1 , and $K=\mathbb{F}_p(\omega)$ with ω some primitive $|X|^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity. Since $\beta^2=1$, we apply point (3.17) of [1], to see that β^2 corresponds to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where 1 as matrix entry is the unit element of K^* . On the other hand we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma\nu^{-1} \\ \sigma\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma\nu^{-1} \cdot \sigma\nu & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma\nu \cdot \sigma\nu^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^2(\nu^\sigma)^{-1}\nu & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2\nu^\sigma\nu^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence σ^2 is the trivial automorphism of K and $\nu^\sigma\nu^{-1}=-1$. Now m must be odd, as we can conclude from lemma 2.6 of [7]. Since $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_p)=m$, it follows that σ is the trivial automorphism of K . Then, however, $-1=\nu^\sigma\nu^{-1}=\nu\nu^{-1}=1$ contradicting $\text{char } K=p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. The case $\delta, 2, 1$) does not occur.

Re $\delta, 2, 2$) Let $|F|=p^{2m+1}$ with p prime and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then $(|N|, |F|)=1$. As found earlier, N/X is cyclic of order 2 or 4. So there exists some 2-element β such that $N=X\langle\beta\rangle$. As in Re $\delta, 2, 1$) we may identify β with $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma\nu^{-1} \\ \sigma\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where σ and ν have the same meaning as before.*) As $\beta^2 \in X$, we have $\sigma^2=1$ by point (3.17) of [1]. If $\sigma=1$ then β

has order 4. If $\sigma \neq 1$, then σ maps ω to ω^{p^n} , with $\omega \in K^*$, K^* the multiplicative group of the field K , K^+ is \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphic to W_1 , $m=2n$ with m the smallest positive integer such that $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{|X|}$. As before, $\mathbb{F}_p(\omega)=K$ and ω is a primitive $|X|^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity. Since $\beta^2 \in X$, β^2 corresponds to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^2(\nu^\sigma)^{-1}\nu & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2\nu^\sigma\nu^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -(\nu^\sigma)^{-1}\nu & 0 \\ 0 & -\nu^\sigma\nu^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The order of $-\nu^\sigma\nu^{-1}$ is a power of 2, say 2^α . Let $\alpha \geq 1$. Now $-\nu^\sigma\nu^{-1} = -\nu^{p^n-1}$, and $\nu \neq 1$. Hence $(-\nu^{p^n-1})^{2^\alpha} = 1$, so $(p^n-1)2^\alpha$ is a multiple of $|\nu|$, the order of ν . As $|\nu| = (p^{2n}-1)/\delta$ for some $\delta \in \Omega$, we have $(p^n-1)2^\alpha = s(p^{2n}-1)/\delta$, for some $s \in \Omega$. Hence $2^\alpha\delta = s(p^n+1)$. Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have p^n+1 divisible by 2 but not by 4. Therefore $2^{\alpha-1}|s$, and $s=2^{\alpha-1}u$, $u \in \Omega$. Then $(-\nu^{p^n-1})^2 = \nu^{(p^n-1)2} = \nu^{u(p^{2n}-1)/\delta} = \nu^{u|\nu|} = 1$. Hence, in fact, if $\alpha \geq 1$, then $\alpha=1$. Therefore it follows that $\beta^2=1$ or that β is of order 4. Now, if all $\beta \in N/N_1 - X/N_1$ are of order 4, then N contains a subgroup L such that $L = \langle u, \beta | \beta^4 = u^t = 1, u^\beta = u^{-1}, t \text{ odd prime}, t \neq p \rangle$. By lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.6 of [7] we have first the necessary condition that p has odd order modulo t , and then we see that we have here $N=L$, thereby settling the case 4) of theorem 2.

So we may assume that any element of $N/N_1 - X/N_1$ has order 2. Then assume $N = X\langle\beta\rangle$ with $\beta^2=1$. Now X/N_1 is a non-trivial cyclic 2-group. Indeed, if $X=N_1$ then we would have the necessary condition that m is odd, see lemma 2.6 of [7] and on the other hand, since $\beta^2=1$, $\sigma \neq 1$ which contradicts the oddness of m , as $m=2n$, see above. Therefore N/N_1 is not an abelian 2-group, but it must be a dihedral 2-group, where it can also happen that N/N_1 is a Klein four group. By abuse of language we say: N/N_1 is a dihedral 2-group. Next we study the structure of N more closely. By [1], we may identify $\varrho \in X$ such that $\langle\varrho\rangle = X$ with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, where $K = \mathbb{F}_p(\omega)$ is the field of p^m elements. Moreover $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{|X|}$. By what we have said before, $m=2n$. Calculate (with $\beta^2=1$):

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma\nu^{-1} \\ \sigma\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma\nu^{-1} \\ \sigma\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\omega^{-1})^\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^\sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-p^n}.$$

Hence $N = \langle x, u | u^2 = 1 = x^s, p^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{s}, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, x^u = x^{-p^n} \rangle$. Let $s = 2^r s'$ with $(2^r, s') = 1$. By the dihedral structure of the Sylow 2-subgroup of N we have: $x^{s'} \xrightarrow{u} x^{-s'} = x^{-s'} p^n$. Hence $x^{-s'(p^n-1)} = 1$ and therefore $s'(p^n-1)$ is a multiple of s , the order of x . So $2^r | p^n - 1$. Let n_1 be the (odd!) order of N_1 . Then, by lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.3 of [7], the smallest positive integer α such that $p^\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{n_1}$ is odd. As $W_1|_{N_1}$ is homogeneous, α divides $m=2n$. Hence $\alpha|n$. Therefore $p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{n_1}$, $p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{2^r}$, whence also $p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{2^r n_1}$, that is $p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{|X|}$.

This contradicts the irreducible action of $T(W_1)=X$ on the $\mathbf{F}_p X$ -module W_1 with $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(W_1)=2n=m$. See theorem II. 3.10 of [6]. The case $\text{Re } \delta, 2, 2)$ has been completed now.

Re $\delta, 2, 3)$ Let $|F|=2^{2m+1}$. If N has odd order then N is of odd prime order and nilpotent. So N must be of even order. By Th. 1.7 of [7], N/N_1 is a cyclic 2-group. Here we have $N=T(W_1)\langle\beta\rangle$, with β corresponding to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma\nu^{-1} \\ \sigma\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, the entries have the same meaning as above, with p replaced by 2. Then $\sigma^2\nu^{-\sigma}\nu \in K^*$. Hence $\sigma=1$ or $\sigma: \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon^{2^n}$ and $\nu^{2^n-1} \in K^*$ with $m=2n$. Assume that $\sigma \neq 1$. Then we find that

$$N = \langle x, u | u^{2^l} = 1 = x^s, \ 2^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{s}, \ x^u = x^{-2^n} \rangle.$$

Let $s=|x|=2^\delta s'$ with $(2, s')=1$. Then $x^{s'} \xrightarrow{u} x^{s'} = x^{-2^n s'}$. It follows that $x^{s' (2^n+1)} = 1$. Therefore $s'(2^n+1)$ is a multiple of s . Hence $\delta=0$ and $l=1$. Therefore $T(W_1)=N_1$. According to Th. II. 3.10 of [6], $2^m \equiv 1 \pmod{|N_1|}$ with m odd. So not only the case $\sigma \neq 1$ does not occur, but also, as $F(G/F(G))$ is a 2'-group by the beginning of this paper, we must have $\sigma=1$ and $\beta^2=1$, N/N_1 cyclic of order 2. For any $\omega \in K^*$ such that $K=\mathbf{F}_2(\omega)$, $|\omega|=\text{order of } N_1$, we obtain that N is a dihedral group; this follows from $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu^{-1} \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu^{-1} \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}$. See (3.17) of [1]. N_1 is inverted by β . Now we apply the following procedure, derived from Berger's paper [1]. We can view V as a 2-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_2^m N$ -module V_1 with basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ equipped with a symplectic form $\bar{g}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}\right)$, which

is left invariant under the action of N , and such that $\bar{g}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = (\beta_1 \gamma_2 - \beta_2 \gamma_1)$. Moreover $\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{2^m} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2}(\bar{g})$ is just the symplectic form described in § 1. This form is also invariant under the action of N , where

$N = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu^{-1} \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$. Next we argue that we may take $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in

stead of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu^{-1} \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since the characteristic of K is 2, there is $\delta \in K^*$ such

that $\delta^{-2}=\nu$. Look at the basis $\{\delta\nu e_1, \delta e_2\}$, obtained by applying the \mathbf{F}_2^m -linear map $\psi: V_1 \rightarrow V_1$, defined by $\psi(e_1)=\delta\nu e_1$, $\psi(e_2)=\delta e_2$. Let $e_1'=\delta\nu e_1$

and $e_2'=\delta e_2$. Then, with respect to that basis, β corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and x corresponds again to $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. With respect to the basis $\{e_1', e_2'\}$

the symplectic form \bar{g} remains invariant under ψ , as can be seen from

$$\bar{g}(\beta_1 e_1 + \beta_2 e_2, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2) = \beta_1 \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \beta_2 = \delta^2 \nu (\beta_1 \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \beta_2) = \beta_1 \delta \nu \delta \gamma_2 - \beta_2 \delta \gamma_1 \delta \nu =$$

$$= \bar{g}(\beta_1 \delta \nu e_1 + \beta_2 \delta e_2, \gamma_1 \delta \nu e_1 + \gamma_2 \delta e_2) = \bar{g}(\psi(\beta_1 e_1 + \beta_2 e_2), \psi(\gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2)).$$

Therefore we can assume that $\psi_0(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and that x corresponds to $\psi_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, where ψ_0 is the map as described in point (3.17) of [1]. So indeed we may assume that β corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Now, let $|\omega| = tp$, p odd prime. Assume that α is the smallest positive integer such that $2^\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. By homogeneity, α divides m . Let $\eta = \omega^t$. Let ϱ be a generator of $\mathbf{F}_{2^\alpha}^*$. Then $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid \eta_i \in \langle \varrho \rangle \cup \{0\} \right\}$ spans a 2-dimensional vector space T over \mathbf{F}_{2^α} , left invariant by the elements of the group $N_2 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \eta & 0 \\ 0 & \eta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$, where we can assume without loss of generality as we saw above that the elements of R are taken with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$, and also the corresponding elements of the "matrix" group N_2 are considered as elements of N . Now $\bar{g} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) = 1$, and $\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{2^m} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2}(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 1^{2^i} = m$ where $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{2^m}/\mathbf{F}_2) = \langle \lambda \rangle$. Since m is odd, we find m unequal to 0, viewed as element of \mathbf{F}_2 . Now, let Ψ_0 as in [1], be the monomorphism of the \mathbf{F}_2 -module V on the \mathbf{F}_{2^m} -module V_1 (It is a \mathbf{F}_2 -isomorphism). By [1] we have $\psi_0(x)\Psi_0(u) = \Psi_0(xu)$, all $x \in N$, $u \in V$. Consider $R_1 = \Psi_0^{-1}(R)$. Then N_2 acts on R_1 as $\mathbf{F}_2 N_2$ -module. The "definition" of this action is $xu = \Psi_0^{-1}(\psi_0(x)\Psi_0(u))$. Therefore R_1 is an irreducible non-singular $\mathbf{F}_2 N_2$ -submodule of V . By minimality, $N = N_2$ and $R_1 = V$. The case 3) of theorem 2 has been settled and the proof of the whole theorem is complete.

§ 3. THE ACTION OF $G/F(G)$ ON F

In this section we determine all the minimal solvable non- M -groups G such that $G/F(G)$ satisfies the hypotheses of theorem 2. Most conclusions drawn are immediately extracted from § 2.

1) Let $G/F(G)$ be cyclic of prime order q . Then q is odd. In this case we will give an existence proof for G . Here we have $F = F(G)$ with F an extra-special p -group of exponent p if p is an odd prime, or F is an extra-special 2-group but not dihedral, if $p = 2$. Let $|F| = p^{2m+1}$. Then p has order $2m$ modulo q and $p^m \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$. By point (2.1) of [1] we can construct G as follows: $F/Z(F)$ is \mathbf{F}_p -isomorphic to the additive group of the field K with p^{2m} elements. Let $\varphi: \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon^{p^m}$, $\varepsilon \in K$, be the automorphism of order 2 of K , and let T be the multiplicative group in K^* of order $p^m + 1$. Now if G exists, then $G/F(G)$ can be considered as a subgroup of T , where the action of $G/F(G)$ on F is compatible with the action of the subgroup S of T of order q , namely compatible with the action of T on K by multiplication. See here Th. II. 3.10 of [6] and point (2.1) of [1]. So what we must do is the following: We have to find the

action of S on K (with regard to the invariance of some symplectic form), and then extend this action to our original F . The relative holomorph $F\langle S \rangle$ is then the required group G as is easy to see. As said above, T acts by multiplication upon K . Choose $\mu \in K^*$ with $\mu^p = -\mu$ (if $p=2$ let $\mu=1$). Put $g(u, v) = \text{Tr}_{F \xrightarrow{p^m} F_p}(\mu(uv^p - u^p v))$, $u, v \in K$. Then $g(u, v)$ is a symplectic form on K , fixed by T . Hence T is a subgroup of $Sp(2m, p)$. Take our q as divisor of $p^m + 1$ and consider the subgroup S of T of order q , as suggested above. Then S is an irreducible subgroup of $Sp(2m, p)$.

Now we have to prove that the action of S on K can be extended to an action of F . First of all, there is the action of $G/F(G)$ now, on the factor group $F/Z(F)$. See again point (2.1) of [1]. This action leaves the symplectic form on $F/Z(F)$ invariant, and this symplectic form corresponds to the symplectic form defined above. Now, if p is odd, then the action of S on $F/Z(F)$ can be extended to the whole of F , by a theorem of Dickson, viz. [9]. If $p=2$, then $\text{Aut}(F)/\text{Inn}(F)$ is isomorphic to the full orthogonal group $O_\epsilon(2m, 2)$, leaving the appropriate quadratic form on $F/Z(F)$ invariant; see [3]. We have

$$|O_\epsilon(2m, 2)| = 2^{m^2-m+1}(2^m - \epsilon) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (2^{2i} - 1),$$

and $O_\epsilon(2m, 2)$ is a subgroup of $Sp(2m, 2)$, where

$$|Sp(2m, 2)| = 2^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^m (2^{2i} - 1);$$

see [9]. Now 2 has here order $2m$ modulo q and $\epsilon = +1$, if F is the amalgamated product of m dihedral groups of order 8, and $\epsilon = -1$, if F is the amalgamated product of one quaternion group of order 8 with $m-1$ dihedral groups of order 8. Therefore, by the order formula for $O_\epsilon(2m, 2)$, we must have the latter F for which $\epsilon = -1$. Notice that any Sylow q -subgroup of $O_{-1}(2m, 2)$ is cyclic and equal to any Sylow q -subgroup of $Sp(2m, 2)$, i.e. up to isomorphism. Hence there exists a subgroup S_1 of $\text{Aut}(F)$ of order q , whose action on $F/Z(F)$ coincides with the action of S on K^+ .

Hence S_1 acts on F and the existence of G has been established in all cases for p . That G is indeed a minimal non- M -group, is left to the reader. The order of G equals qp^{2m+1} .

2) Let $G/F(G)$ be quaternion of order 8. Then $F = F(G)$ and F is an extra-special p -group of exponent p , such that p is an odd prime with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence $|F| = p^3$. The example can be derived from point (2.3) of [1]. Up to isomorphy there is only one group, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} G = F\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle &= \langle x, y, \alpha, \beta | x^p = y^p = z^p = \alpha^4 = \beta^4 = 1, [x, y] = z, \\ &[x, z] = [y, z] = 1, x^\alpha = x^t, y^\alpha = y^{t^{-1}}, t^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \\ &x^\beta = y, y^\beta = x^{-1}, \beta^\alpha = \beta^{-1}, \alpha^2 = \beta^2, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3) Let $G/F(G)$ be cyclic of order 4. Then $F=F(G)$ and F is an extra-special p -group of exponent p , such that p is an odd prime with $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Hence F has order p^3 . Let $F=\langle x, y|x^p=y^p=z^p=1, [x, y]=z, [x, z]=[y, z]=1 \rangle$. We may take $G=F\langle c \rangle$, with $c^4=1, x^c=y, y^c=x^{-1}$. It is clear that G is a minimal non- M -group.

4) Let $G/F(G)=\langle u, \beta|\beta^4=u^t=1, t \text{ odd prime}, u^\beta=u^{-1} \rangle$. Then $F=F(G)$ and F is an extra-special p -group of exponent p with $p \neq t$, p odd prime. Moreover $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $|F|=p^{2m+1}$. Then l , the order of p mod t , is odd as we saw earlier. But then $p^l \equiv 1 \pmod{2t}$, i.e. $l=m$. We give an existence proof for G . Consider $\langle u, \beta \rangle$. Then

$$\langle u, \beta \rangle \cong \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -v^{-1} \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right| \text{fixed } v \in \mathbf{F}_p^m, \langle \omega \rangle \cong \langle \beta^2, u \rangle, \omega \in \mathbf{F}_p^m \Big\rangle.$$

Call the latter matrix group \bar{G} . The symplectic form $\bar{g}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \beta_2\gamma_1 - \beta_1\gamma_2$ is fixed by \bar{G} . Hence \bar{G} is a subgroup of $Sp(2, p^m)$, whence also a subgroup of $Sp(2m, p)$ by Th. II. 9.24 of [6]. Then by [9], \bar{G} can be considered as subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F)$. Build the semi-direct product $\bar{G}F$. This is the required group G . It is easy to see that G is a minimal non- M -group. We omit the trivial verification.

5) Assume that $G/F(G)$ is dihedral of order $2q$, q odd prime. Then $|F|=2^{2m+1}$, where the order of 2 modulo q equals m . Here we will meet the complication that $F(G) \neq F$, in general. By [8], $F(G)$ is the central product of a cyclic 2-group C with F , such that $\Omega_1(C)$ is identified with $Z(F)$. In fact, $C=Z(G)$. As m is odd, see above in Re $\delta, 2, 3$, it follows by an inspection of the order formula for the group $O_s(2m, 2)$ that we must have $\varepsilon = +1$, that is, F must be the central product of m dihedral groups of order 8. Now, if we can prove that $\langle a, b|a^q=1=b^2, bab^{-1}=a^{-1} \rangle$ can be represented faithfully in $O_1(2m, 2)$ then we know (by [9]) that $\langle a, b \rangle$ can be seen as subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F)$. When it has been established, then we have to give the generators and relations for G , that is to give a practical device for constructing such a G . As we saw in Re $\delta, 2, 3$, $\langle a, b \rangle$ can be faithfully represented as subgroup of $Sp(2, 2^m)$, whence also as subgroup of $Sp(2m, 2)$. Let $F/Z(F)=\langle \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m \rangle$, where the bar denotes class modulo $Z(F)$. Assume that this basis is given so that $[x_i, y_j]=\delta_{ij}$, δ_{ij} Kronecker delta, $[x_s, x_t]=[y_s, y_t]=1$ for all $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Although we don't need it, we stipulate that all the x_i and y_j written, are of order 2. The representation of $\langle a, b \rangle$ as subgroup of $Sp(2m, 2)$ with respect to the given basis, is now as follows:

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A, B, C, D \in GL(m, 2).$$

As $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(2m, 2)$, it follows that $B=(A^*)^{-1}$, where A^* is the transpose of A .

Further, as

$$[x_i, y_j] = \prod_{t=1}^m ([y_t, x_i]^{\varepsilon_{it}} \varphi_{ij}),$$

for $(\varepsilon_{ij}) = C$, $(\varphi_{ij}) = D$, we see that $\sum_{t=1}^m \varepsilon_{it} \varphi_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, the Kronecker delta. So $D^* = C^{-1}$. On the other hand, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a matrix of order 2, so $D = C^{-1}$. Thus C is symmetric. Also we see without using it, that $C^{-1} A^* C = A$. Next we show that $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^{-1} \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are both contained in $O_1(2m, 2)$. Therefore we have to show that both matrices leave invariant the quadratic form defined by

$$q(\bar{x}_1^{\varepsilon_1} \bar{x}_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots \bar{x}_m^{\varepsilon_m} \bar{y}_1^{\eta_1} \dots \bar{y}_m^{\eta_m}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \eta_i, \quad \xi_s, \eta_t \in \mathbf{F}_2.$$

Let $(\alpha_{ij}) = A$, $(\beta_{ij}) = B$, $(\varepsilon_{ij}) = C$, $(\varphi_{ij}) = D$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \eta_i &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{t=1}^m \alpha_{it} \xi_t \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^m \beta_{is} \eta_s \right) = \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^m \left(\sum_{s=1}^m \alpha_{it} \beta_{is} \right) \xi_t \eta_s = \sum_{t=1}^m \delta_{ts} \xi_t \eta_s = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j \eta_j, \end{aligned}$$

as $B = (A^*)^{-1}$.

And, as $C = C^*$, $D = C^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \eta_i &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{t=1}^m \varphi_{it} \eta_t \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^m \varepsilon_{is} \xi_s \right) = \sum_{t=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{it} \varepsilon_{is} \right) \eta_t \xi_s = \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^m \left(\sum_{s=1}^m \varepsilon_{st} \varphi_{it} \right) \eta_t \xi_s = \sum_{t=1}^m \delta_{ts} \eta_t \xi_s = \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \xi_j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle a, b \rangle$ can be faithfully represented in $O_1(2m, 2)$. By the construction of [9] (and using that the chosen x_i, y_j are all of order 2 (here we need it!)), we see that $\langle a, b \rangle$ can be viewed as subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F)$. Notice that the argument is here more delicate than in the odd prime case, caused by the fact that in the $p=2$ case $\text{Aut}(F)$ does not split over $\text{Inn}(F)$ in general, where $\text{Aut}(F)/\text{Inn}(F) \cong O_s(2m, 2)$. See [5].

Now we come to the description of the group G . The following normal series occurs:

$$G \supset \overset{2}{R} \supset \overset{q}{\underset{\sim}{F}}(G) = C \vee \overset{2^s}{F} \supseteq F \supset Z(F) \supset \{1\}.$$

In order that G be a minimal non- M -group, we know that G/F has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. So there exists an element $t \in G$, with $t^{2^{s+1}} \in F$, $t^{2^s} \notin F$, where 2^{s+1} is the order of C and $s \geq 0$. Now $t^2 = cf$, for some $c \in C$ and $f \in F$. Assume that $s \geq 1$. Then $t^4 \in C$. So $t^{2^{s+1}}$ is contained in $F \cap C = Z(F)$.

If $s \geq 1$, and t has order 2^{s+1} , then $F(G)$ would be of exponent 2^{s+1} . By construction t^2 acts trivially on F , so t^2 centralizes $\langle F, t^2 \rangle = F(G)$. So $t^2 \in C$. The order of t^2 would be at most 2^s contrary to the order of C . So, if $s \geq 1$, then $t^{2^{s+1}} = z$, with $\langle z \rangle = Z(F)$, $C = \langle t^2 \rangle$. t induces an automorphism of order 2 on F , as constructed earlier, and the construction of R is also clear. If $s = 0$, then $F(G) = F$ and t^2 centralizes F , so $t^2 = 1$ or $t^2 = z$. The last remark settles the structure of G completely, namely, R cannot be anything else as the semi-direct product of $F(G)$ with a cyclic group of order q (by the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem), and the action of $R/F(G)$ on F is constructed above, and t acts on F corresponding to the action of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

REMARK. In a future paper we hope to get rid of the additional assumption on $G/F(G)$, made in the hypotheses of theorem 2.

*Mathematisch Instituut,
Toernooiveld, Nijmegen*

REFERENCES

1. Berger, T. R. – Hall-Higman Type Theorems, II. Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **205**, 47–69 (1975).
2. Berger, T. R. – Hall-Higman Type Theorems, V. Pacific Journ. of Math., to appear.
3. Dickson, L. E. – Linear groups with an exposition of the Galois field theory. 2^d edition, Dover, New York, 1958.
4. Gorenstein, D. – Finite Groups. Harper and Row Publ., New York, 1968.
5. Griess, Jr., R. L. – Automorphisms of extra special groups and nonvanishing degree 2 cohomology. Pacific J. of Math., **48**, 403–422 (1973).
6. Huppert, B. – Endliche Gruppen I. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1967.
7. Price, D. T. – Character Ramification and M -Groups. Math. Zeitschrift, **130**, 325–337 (1973).
8. Waall, R. W. van der – On the embedding of minimal non- M -groups. Indagationes Math., **36**, 157–167 (1974).
9. Winter, D. L. – The automorphism group of an extraspecial p -group. The Rocky Mountain J. of Math., **2**, 159–168 (1972).

*) See now point (1.1) of [1].